

NEWS

# Reclassification of Rett syndrome diagnosis stirs concerns

BY SARAH DEWEERDT

4 JULY 2011

**In and out:** The revision of a prominent diagnostic handbook is reigniting a long-standing debate about Rett syndrome's relationship to autism.

A plan by an American Psychiatric Association **revision committee** to remove Rett syndrome from the next edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM) has sparked concern among some parents and researchers. But proponents of the change say the plan has been widely misunderstood, and their goal is better treatment for people with the neurodevelopmental disorder.

The earliest symptoms of Rett syndrome, emerging around 6 to 18 months of age, look much like autism: The children, almost all girls, withdraw from social engagement, lose communication abilities and develop repetitive movements such as hand-wringing.

In the current edition of the DSM, Rett syndrome is listed as one of five autism-related conditions, along with Asperger syndrome and childhood disintegrative disorder.

But Rett syndrome won't be mentioned in the next edition, known as DSM-5, at all. The change comes along with a larger effort to develop new diagnostic criteria for autism spectrum disorder, which **has been the subject of considerable controversy**.

One reason for removing the mention of Rett syndrome is that "the severe autistic features are only present in a very narrow window in the life of the patient," says **Walter Kaufmann**, director of the Kennedy Krieger Institute's Center for Genetic Disorders of Cognition and Behavior in Baltimore, and a member of the DSM-5 committee that made the recommendation. For example, Kaufmann notes, children with the disorder often regain interest in socializing as they get older.

When the disorder was added to the DSM in 1994, researchers didn't yet know that Rett syndrome is caused by mutations in the MeCP2 gene, which encodes a protein important for neuronal functioning. MeCP2 is located on the X chromosome, and the disorder primarily affects females — males who have a damaged copy of the gene on their single X chromosome rarely survive until birth.

The DSM is about behavior, not cause, or 'etiology,' so including "a specific etiologic entity, such as Rett's Disorder, is inappropriate," the revision committee **states on its website**.

But many scientists investigating the biological mechanisms underlying Rett syndrome object to that reasoning.

"We're going to discover that all autism spectrum disorders have a genetic cause," says **Huda Zoghbi**, director of the Neurological Research Institute at Baylor College of Medicine in Houston. "So are we going to keep removing them every time we have the genetic basis of one?"

## Making a place:

Zoghbi notes that girls with the mildest form of Rett syndrome have symptoms only of autism, and none of the other Rett characteristics such as seizures or difficulty walking. "Autism is a clinical diagnosis," she says. "Now you throw it out, just because you found out they have a mutation? It doesn't make sense to me."

But the plan is actually more nuanced than this, committee members say, and the new version of the manual will still have a place for such individuals.

Children with Rett syndrome will no longer automatically be considered part of the autism spectrum. Instead, they will have to meet the new diagnostic criteria for autism spectrum disorder that are being developed for the DSM-5.

"If a child with Rett syndrome meets diagnostic criteria for autism spectrum disorder," Kaufmann says, "she's going to have a diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder and then the label 'associated with Rett syndrome' or 'associated with MeCP2 mutation.'"

The same 'associated with' terminology will also apply to people with conditions such as fragile X syndrome or tuberous sclerosis who also meet criteria for autism spectrum disorder, he says.

"We're not really excluding Rett's. That's a misinterpretation," adds **Joe Piven**, professor of psychiatry at the University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill, another member of the revision committee. "We're not singling just Rett's out.

This isn't the first time Rett syndrome's position in the DSM has sparked debate. Even in 1994, some researchers argued that it belonged in a category with autism<sup>1</sup>, but others disagreed<sup>2</sup>. At the time, Rett syndrome was a relatively new<sup>3</sup> and mysterious disorder.

"The thinking was essentially that it was better to have it somewhere" in the DSM, says **Fred Volkmar**, director of the Yale Child Study Center, who helped write the DSM-IV.

As the syndrome has become better understood, however, not everyone has been happy with this hodgepodge approach.

In a 2007 survey, three-quarters of the members of RettSearch, an international consortium of clinician-researchers, said that Rett syndrome shouldn't primarily be defined as an autism spectrum disorder, reports Kaufmann, who is also the chair of that group's executive committee.

RettSearch's survey concluded that a greater emphasis on the unique, non-autism-related aspects of Rett syndrome was needed to help people with the disorder gain access to treatments such as physical therapy.

But some parents of children with Rett syndrome say that being part of the autism spectrum gives their children better access to treatments such as speech therapy and special education services.

"As a parent of a child with Rett, I am quite concerned about the loss of potential services that the removal of Rett from DSM-5 may cause," says **Monica Coenraads**, executive director of the Rett Syndrome Research Trust, a U.S.-based nonprofit that funds research into treatments for the disorder. "It would be a pity if school districts decided to no longer offer these services based on a reclassification."

## References:

1.

Rutter M. *J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry* **35**, 379-381 (1994) [PubMed](#)

2.

Gillberg C. *J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry* **35**, 377-378 (1994) [PubMed](#)

3.

Hagberg B. *et al. Ann. Neurol.* **14**, 471-479 (1983) [PubMed](#)