

NEWS

Cesarean sections tenuously tied to autism

BY **ANDREW WHITEHOUSE, THE CONVERSATION**

29 AUGUST 2019

A **new study** that combines data from more than 20 million births has found that a cesarean section delivery is associated with autism and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).

However, the study does not indicate that cesarean section deliveries cause autism or ADHD. The truth is much more difficult to decipher, and it provides an excellent case study for the old adage that correlation doesn't equal causation.

What are these conditions?

Autism and ADHD are what we call neurodevelopmental conditions. This means people with these conditions have clear differences in behavioral development, which we presume are due to brain differences.

In the case of autism, behavioral differences occur in the part of the brain primarily responsible for social and communication development. For ADHD, these differences affect the ability to control and direct attention.

The exact reasons why the brain develops differently are not entirely clear. Studies in twins, which are able to help us understand the role of genetic and environmental influences on a given trait, have shown that both autism and ADHD involve a large genetic component.

However, these studies have also indicated that environmental influences, such as **bacterial or viral infections** during pregnancy, may play a role in the development of these conditions, most likely through interactions with genetic make-up.

What did this study find?

The association between certain cesarean sections and autism has been **known for close to two decades**. Any possible link with ADHD has received comparatively less research, but there have still been numerous studies in this area.

Today's study, **published in JAMA Network Open**, combines all of the studies conducted previously into a single analysis. This meta-analysis then allows the researchers to come up with a single estimate of how strong the association between cesarean sections, autism and ADHD may be.

In this case, the meta-analysis included more than 20 million people. It found children born via cesarean section had an increase in odds of being diagnosed with autism or ADHD in early childhood.

The associations were scientifically robust but very small. Children delivered via cesarean section were 1.33 times more likely to be diagnosed with autism and 1.17 times more likely to be diagnosed with ADHD.

As the prevalence of these conditions is already relatively low (around **1 percent** for autism, and **7 percent** for ADHD), this increase in odds is not substantial. In the instance of autism, this is a shift in odds from a 1 percent prevalence to 1.33 percent. This shift is not consequential and certainly does not call for any change in our clinical practice.

This association was similar for children born by either elective or emergency cesarean section.

But what does it mean?

The temptation with findings like this is to draw a causal link between one factor (cesarean section) and the other (autism or ADHD). Unlike so many other areas of science, the conclusions are easily understood and the implications appear obvious.

But the simplicity is deceptive, and it says more about our desire for simple answers than it does about the truth of the science.

The studies included in this meta-analysis used a branch of science called epidemiology, which is concerned with how often conditions and diseases occur in different groups of people and why, and how to prevent or manage them.

Epidemiological studies survey a large population and find a pattern of results that indicate a certain factor may be coinciding with a certain disease more often than we would expect by

chance.

In this case, there is the observation that people with autism or ADHD are more likely to be born by cesarean section than we would otherwise typically expect.

But this kind of epidemiological study is unable determine if one factor (cesarean section) causes another (ADHD or autism).

There are two key reasons why.

First, we can't rule out that a third factor may be influencing this association. We know, for example, that cesarean sections are more common for pregnant women who are **obese** and **older**, and who have a **history of immune conditions** such as asthma.

All of these factors have also been linked with an increased chance of having a child with autism, and it is entirely possible -- and some would argue, **probable** -- that it is more likely these factors underlie the relationship between cesarean section and neurodevelopmental conditions.

The second reason is that these kind of epidemiological studies are unable to provide what scientists call 'a mechanism' -- that is, a biological explanation as to why this association may exist.

A mechanism study in this area may be to explore biological differences in newborns either born via vaginal or cesarean delivery, and understand how these differences may lead to atypical behavioral development.

Without a strong body of evidence from these kinds of studies, there is simply no scientific basis for concluding a causal link between cesarean section and neurodevelopmental conditions.

So what should we take away from this study?

The study provides a strong basis for concluding there is a statistical association between cesarean section delivery on one hand, and autism and ADHD on the other. But that's about it.

Why this link exists remains unknown, but it is almost certain that a cesarean delivery alone does not contribute to the odds of a child developing autism or ADHD.

Instead, it is likely that other pregnancy factors play a role in this relationship, as well as genetic factors that may interact with the environmental influences during pregnancy to contribute to brain development.

*This story originally appeared on **The Conversation**. It has been slightly modified to reflect Spectrum's style.*