

NEWS

# Autism-linked gene exerts varied effects on oxytocin circuits in mice

BY PETER HESS

18 DECEMBER 2020

Two types of neurons **process social information**, a new mouse study suggests, but only one is disrupted in mice missing the autism-linked gene FMR1.

The neurons reside in a brain region called the hypothalamus, and both send signals via the hormone oxytocin. The deletion of FMR1, however, affects these cells differently: The loss of FMR1 in the smaller, ‘parvocellular’ neurons diminishes the mice’s interest in social interactions — but only those involving peers, the new work shows. The gene’s loss from the larger, ‘magnocellular’ neurons, by contrast, does not disrupt the animals’ interactions with either peers or parents.

“There are a lot of different types of social behaviors, and not all of them are impaired in autism,” says lead investigator **Gül Dölen**, assistant professor of neuroscience at Johns Hopkins University in Baltimore, Maryland. Whereas peer-to-peer social interactions are troublesome for many autistic people, other social interactions — such as parental connections — are on par with those seen in non-autistic people, she says.

This new understanding of the different neurons’ functions could help explain why clinical trials of oxytocin for treating autism traits have **shown mixed results**. It could also help scientists develop more effective treatments, experts say.

“There are these two different kinds of neurons that we’ve known about for a really long time, and each of their contributions to social behavior has never really been dissected out,” says **Larry Young**, chief of behavioral neuroscience and psychiatric disorders at Emory University in Atlanta, Georgia, who was not involved with the study. “It’s really important for the future of drug development.”

**Place preference:**

Dölen and her team trained mice to associate being alone in a cage with wood-chip-based bedding, and being paired with a cagemate with corncob bedding. They then put mice alone in a cage with two chambers, one with wood-chip or wood-fiber bedding and the other with corncob bedding, and measured which one the animals preferred to spend time in.

The researchers also tested two different types of cagemates: an unrelated mouse of the same age, and a parent figure — an unrelated mouse that raised them from birth.

Typical mice prefer the chamber with social bedding over the one with solitary bedding, regardless of whether their cagemate was a peer or a parent figure, the study shows. Mice missing a copy of FMR1, however, preferred social bedding only after interactions with parent figures.

Next the team engineered mice missing FMR1 in either the magnocellular or parvocellular neurons. Mice with altered parvocellular neurons demonstrated the same peer-to-peer social differences as the original knockout mice, whereas those with altered magnocellular neurons did not.

These results suggest that social-reward behavior involves oxytocin signals sent by parvocellular neurons but not magnocellular neurons, says **Daniel Quintana**, senior researcher in biological psychiatry at the University of Oslo in Norway, who was not involved in the work. “Previous to this study, parvocellular oxytocin neurons were generally thought to regulate non-social functions, like yawning and feeding.”

## **Better drugs:**

The future of psychiatry will be about identifying specific circuits in the brain, not just molecules, and targeting them with drugs, Young says.

“Right now we’re stuck in the dark ages for oxytocin,” he says. “One day we might have a drug that can specifically target this specific set of neurons that might really affect social reward.”

So far, clinical trials involving oxytocin delivered intranasally have produced “wishy-washy, no-go results,” Dölen says. “We think they were targeting the wrong types of neurons.”

Because very little intranasally delivered oxytocin crosses the blood-brain barrier, and because only magnocellular neurons send projections beyond the blood-brain barrier, oxytocin drugs likely do not reach the more important parvocellular neurons, Dölen says.

“I don’t know that we know that for sure,” Young says about whether the mixed trial results reflect intranasal oxytocin reaching only magnocellular neurons. “But [intranasally delivered oxytocin] definitely would be more distributed and not a specific effect,” he suggests as an alternative.

Armed with new insight, Dölen and her team plan to revisit therapies for fragile X syndrome that

target a receptor called mGluR5. This approach had shown promise in mice but **flopped in clinical trials.**

“We want to see if there’s some difference in the way the two oxytocin neurons are responding to mGluRs,” she says.